Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statement (Updated 24th August 15th

December 2023) Lower Thames Crossing – TR010032

London Gateway Port Limited, LG Park Freehold Limited and LG Park Leasehold Limited (collectively referred to as DP World London Gateway (DPWLG))

Number Principal Issue in Question (PIQ)	SOCG Reference	The brief concern held by DPWLG which will be reported on in full in Written/Oral Representations	What needs to; change, or be included, or amended so as to overcome the disagreement	Likelihood of the concern being addressed during Examination
1 Transport Impact Assessment – Insufficient assessment work on local highway junctions	Table 2.1, Item 2.1.1 & 2.1.3	The impact at the A13/A128 Orsett Cock junction and the A13/A1014 Manorway junction has not been fully or properly assessed within the submission documents. These junctions are located on a critical route to the DP World London Gateway Port (the Port) and Logistics Park (the Park), consequently a full understanding of the transport impact on this local highway network is required. <u>Subsequent assessment</u> by the applicant and other parties (including Thurrock Council) has confirmed a considerable lack of convergence	The Applicant submitted further information at Deadline 1 (Report Refs: REP1-187, REP1-189 and REP1-190) which includes the results of microsimulation modelling at the A13/A128 Orsett Cock junction and the A13/A1014 Manorway junction. However, this does not address the key areas of concern. Notably, it highlights the inadequacies of and inconsistencies with LTAM. This information is needed to identify suitable mitigation solutions provided to prevent accessibility and operational issues to the Port and Park. The mitigation solutions can be agreed but the means by which they should be secured, if found to be necessary, is a matter for further consideration.	We see no reason why this information cannot be provided, and the matter- addressed at Examination.However, given the extent- of outstanding information, DPWLG have concerns- whether this information- will be provided in time to- allow proper consideration- during Examination.The impact of the LTC- cannot be fully assessed until this information is- provided.It was expected at the beginning of the examination that full details of the required highway works on the network would be finalised and agreed by the end of the

	I	between LTAM and local	provided at D6 and 6a (REP6A-	examination. It is
		junction models.	004 and 0006 for the applicant,	unfortunate that the
		<u>Junetion models.</u>	REP6A-013 and REP6A-014 for	applicant was unable to
			Thurrock and REP6A-009 for	complete this work.
			DPWLG) which show there is a	
			lack of convergence between	
			the various model outputs and	
			therefore the impact on access	
			to the Port is unresolved in	
			modelling terms.	
			Further physical (and potentially	
			significant) changes are required	
			to Orsett Cock Interchange to	
			ensure that it accommodates	
			traffic changes arising from the	
			proposed development. Such	
			works would allow more	
			confidence in the outcomes of	
			the applicants modelling in	
			respect of OC Interchange,	
			Manorway Interchange, M25	
			J30 and access from the ports	
			generally.	
			It is agreed this should be	
			secured by way of an	
			appropriately worded	
			Requirement.	
			Following a meeting with the	
			Applicant on 16 th August 2023,	
			further information has been	
			requested by DPWLG, Essex	
			County Council and Thurrock	
			Council and NH have undertaken	
			to provide that information. This-	
			includes the creation of new	

	models to address concerns raised.
	This information is needed- to identify suitable mitigation solutions provided to- prevent accessibility and- operational issues to the- Port and Park. The- mitigation solutions can be- agreed but the means by- which they should be- secured, if found to be- necessary, is a matter for- further consideration.

2	Transport	Table 2.1, Item	The Transport Impact	The Applicant has not submitted	We see no reason why this
	Impact	2.1.1 & 2.1.3	Assessment does not	any additional information. As	information cannot be
	Assessment –		consider the traffic impacts	such, this issue remains	provided, and the matter
	Lack of detail on		during	outstanding.	addressed at Examination.
	other connected		(planned/ unplanned)		The impact of the LTC
	transport		closures of the Dartford	The Transport Assessment	cannot be fully assessed
	networks		Crossing. The closures	should have been needs to be	until this information is We
			occur frequently and	updated to consider and assess	see no reason why this
			would create further	the implications of anticipated	information could not have
			congestion implications	Dartford Crossing closures	been addressed at
			along the A13 (specifically	(based on robust evidence of the	Examination. As it has not,
			at junctions A13/A128 and	frequency this event occurs), and	the impact of the LTC
			A13/A1014) once the LTC	the congestion impacts on local	<u>remains</u>
			is operational. The A13 is	highways once the re-routing of	<u>unresolved.provided.Our</u>
			a critical route to the	traffic during such events occurs.	understanding is that
			accessibility of the Port	Suitable mitigation solutions	opportunity no longer
			and Park.	should be provided to prevent	exists to address this issue
				accessibility and operational	within the Examination
				issues to the Port and Park. The	period
				mitigation solutions can be	
				agreed but the means by which	
				they should be secured, if found	
				to be necessary, is a matter for	
				further consideration.	

3	Likely	Table 2.1, Item	Increased traffic on the A13	The Applicant has not submitted	We see no reason why this
	significance of	2.1.2	(specifically at and in the	any additional information. As	information could not have
	impacts		vicinity of the junctions	such, this issue remains	been addressed annot be-
			noted above) will likely	outstanding.	provided, and the matter-
			result in significant adverse		addressed at Examination.
			traffic impacts for the Port	Following the completion of	As it has not, Ththe impact
			and Park.	suitable Traffic Assessment (as-	of the LTC remains
				discussed in Items 1 and 2	unresolved. cannot be fully
				above) to robustly assess the	assessed until this
				significance of identified impacts	information is provided.
				The absence of an agreed and	Notwithstanding this 'a draft
				final model of the impacts of the	Reg 18 provision is being
				scheme on the A13 leaves this	discussed has been
				issue unresolved. A full	proposed by with the
				breakdown of DPLWG view on	applicant which goes some
				this implications of this is	way to securing a scheme
				provided at REP6A-009.	of improvement at Orsett
					Cock Junction and has the
					potential to contribute to
					resolution of this issue.
					However, the wording of
					that provision is not
					considered suitably robust'
					(refer to our relevant reps)

4	Economic	Table 2.1, Item	The congestion on the	The Applicant has not submitted	We see no reason this
	Impact	2.1.2	A13 highway (specifically	any additional information. As	information cannot be
	Assessment –		at the A13/A128 and	such, this issue remains	provided, and the matter
	Insufficient		A13/A1014 junctions) as a	outstanding.	addressed at Examination.
	assessment of		result of the proposed LTC		The impact of the LTC
	the impact on		has the potential to	An Economic Impact	cannot be fully assessed
	the operations		constrain operations at the	Assessment, which considers the	until this information is
	of the Port and		Port and Park and	net benefits and net negatives of	provided
	Park and other		consequently create	the proposed LTC for the Port	
	NSIPs		negative economic	and Park, needs to be undertaken	Our understanding is that
			impacts. These impacts	once the further Transport	opportunity no longer
			have not been considered	Assessment work is provided	exists to address this
			as part of the submission	(Items 1 and 2 above), as this will	issue within the
			documents.	detail the operational impacts.	Examination period

5	Policy	N/A	The Applicant has	Whilst the Applicant (in	We see no reason this
	Assessment –		provided a response at D6	Document 9.135) has sort to	information cannot be
	Insufficient		to the Ports' Joint	address matters raised in the	provided, and the matter
	consideration		Statement on the	Ports' Joint Statement, <u></u> Tthe	addressed at Examination
	and assessment		Compliance of the LTC	Applicant should <u>have</u> provide <u>d</u>	
	of relevant		Scheme with Ports Policy	an assessment of the scheme	The impact of the LTC
	national and		Made at D3	against the relevant national and	cannot be fully assessed
	regional policy		(Document 9.135).	regional policy documents in an	until this information is
	documents.			'Accordance Table' as an	provided.It is our
			The Applicant's response	addendum to document '7.2	understanding that
			does not provide an	Planning Statement' <u>, similar to</u>	sufficient opportunity no
			adequate assessment of	that provided by the Applicant	longer exists to resolve
			the Project against ports	for NPS for National Networks;	differences with the
			and marine related policy. It	NPS for energy Infrastructure;	applicant regarding the
			ignores the failings of the	Draft NPS for National	interpretation of these
			Applicant's own transport	Networks; and Local Authority	policies
			modelling work regarding	Policy.	
			overall journey time savings		
			and makes assertions	It is noted that these The NPS	
			regarding potential	for Ports and Marine Policy	
			transport and economic	documents were considered as	
			benefits to the ports that	'important and relevant	
			are not supported by any	considerations' during the	
			evidence.	Examination of the Thanet	
				Extension Offshore Wind Farm	
			As such, DPWLG	DCO application. As such, there	
			maintains that the	is precedent to consider these	
			Applicant has failed to	documents in cases where a	
			properly consider relevant	DCO application has the	
			national and regional	potential to affect the operations	
			policy, namely NPS for	of major ports.	
			Ports (2012), the UK		
			Marine Policy Statement		
			(2011), and the South		
			East Inshore Marine Plan		
			(2021), despite the		

			potential for the LTC Project to impact adversely on the Port and Logistics Park at London Gateway.		
<u>6</u>	Draft wording of DCO Regulation <u>18 requirement</u>	<u>To be inserted</u> once amended <u>SOCG agreed</u>	There are some fundamental concerns about the Applicant's proposed drafting (as submitted at D9). These concerns are as set out in the Joint Position Statement submitted by POTL/DPWLG/TBC/TEP at D9.	We refer to Appendix 1 of the Joint Position Statement submitted by POTL/DPWLG/TBC/TEP at D9.	It is our understanding that sufficient opportunity no longer exists to resolve differences with the proposed drafting of the DCO Regulation 18 requirement